



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CRJ

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,673	12/11/2001	Jhong Uhk Kim		4842

7590 09/26/2003

SALVATORE C. MITRI
263 Bryant Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10306

EXAMINER

HENDERSON, MARK T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	3722

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/014,673	KIM, JHONG UHK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark T Henderson	3722	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____ .

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____ .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ . 6) Other: ____ .

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3722

1. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the form" in lines 1 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
2. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the form" in lines 1 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
3. Claim 18 recites the limitation "the form" in lines 1 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bursaw (Des. 368,488).

Bursaw discloses in Fig. 1-3, a combined calendar and advertising format comprising: a plurality of sheets (A), each sheet having an adjoining top (T), a bottom (B), opposed sides (S1 and S2), and upper face (F1, as seen in Fig. 3), and a reverse face (F2); a plurality of transversely

Art Unit: 3722

defined panels (P1, P2, and P3) on each sheet; a plurality of commercial printed matter (Sports Illustrated Magazine), wherein graphics consist of photographs contained on each of the panels; at least one monthly calendar (C) contained on one of the panels; and wherein each panel contains a different monthly calendar, so that calendar spans a calendar year.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4, 8, 9, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bursaw.

Bursaw discloses a calendar/advertising format comprising all the elements as claimed in Claims 1, 10 and 15, and as set forth above. However, Bursaw does not disclose: wherein the printable stock is from about 20 lbs to 40 lbs; wherein the printed matter is in a form of commercial advertising identifying dining and eating establishments selected from the group consisting of catering halls, fast food chains, restaurants; wherein three transversely defined panels

Art Unit: 3722

are contained on each sheet, wherein each sheet contains a different monthly calendar, printed matter and graphics.

In regards to **Claims 4, 13, and 18**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place any desirable indicia on the sheets, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack* 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of calendar sheet does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there appears to be no new or unobvious structural relationship between the printed matter and the substrate which is required for patentability. Furthermore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, the calendar/advertising format of Bursaw is

Art Unit: 3722

capable of identifying dining and eating establishments depending on the indicia printed on the sheet.

In regards to **Claim 6**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include as many panels as desired, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8. Therefore, the sheet of Bursaw is capable of having any number of panels depending on what indicia is to be disclosed on one sheet face.

6. Claims 2, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bursaw in view of Stanard (4,342,167).

Bursaw discloses a calendar/advertising format comprising all the elements as claimed in Claims 1, 10 and 15, and as set forth above. However, Bursaw does not disclose wherein the printable stock is from about 20-40 pound weight.

Stanard discloses in Col. 3, lines 40-43, a printable stock (1) having a weight of about 35 lbs.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bursaw's calendar/advertising format to include a stock having a weight between 20-40 lbs, as taught by Stanard for the purpose providing a paper which receives printing ink well without bleeding or penetrating.

Art Unit: 3722

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Shedd, Holec, Jones, Grant, Whang, Jagoe et al, Pazicni, Hundler et al, Oleske et al, Perelman, Reece et al, and Ngan disclose similar calendars.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.



MTH

September 17, 2003



A. L. WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700